## Remarks

Claims 1-5 are now pending. Claim 5 was amended for consistency. The Examiner rejected claims 1-5 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Kanji, et al. (US2002/0114773). In the rejection, the Examiner describes that Kanji, et al. does not teach the specific combination of the above resins (i.e. a MQ resin with a propylsilsesquioxane resin) in a resin composition. The Examiner however, goes on to say that a person skilled in the art would have been motivated to pick these two types of film-forming resins and combine them as part of a composition because they each bring different properties to the composition (the MQ resins are typically harder while the silsesquioxanes are generally continuous and flexible) and combining them can help maximize transfer resistant properties as well as pliability, softness, and wearing comfort of the composition.)

Applicants respectfully disagree. Kanji et al describes using a methyl MQ resin, a methyl silsesquioxane resin (T methyl resin) or a mixture of these resins. Kanji et al also states that the methyl in the silsesquioxane resin and in the MQ resin may be replaced by R which is a longer carbon chain. Although Kanji et al mentions this, they do not provide any teaching that blends of these types of materials having a longer carbon chain will be compatible and therefore useful. Applicants specifically require a MQ resin and a propylsilsesquioxane resin (T propyl resin). As indicated in Table 1 of the present application, the examples of the present application demonstrate the compatibility of the T propyl resins with the MQ resin. This is indicated by examples 1 to 6 which all illustrate "clear" compositions. In contrast, the comparative examples illustrate compositions, as shown in Table 2, where T methyl resins are incompatible with MQ resins. This is indicated by the fact that comparative examples 7 to 15 all illustrate compositions which are "hazy". Further, Table 2 also shows in Examples 16 and 17 that a T methyl resin is also incompatible with a T propyl resin. Even more important, Table 2 shows that adding a T propyl resin to a blend of MQ resin + T methyl resin cannot keep the blend compatible at room temperature.

A person skilled in the art understands that materials having similar groups such as the

MQ resin + T methyl resin each having methyl groups are more likely to be compatible then

materials having different groups such as the present invention which uses a MQ resin and T

propyl resin, and therefore they would try to combine the MQ resin + T methyl resin. The results

of this combination –as shown by Table 2 in the present application is that the blend is not

compatible – and therefore would not provide the benefits described by the Examiner.

The Examiner also specified that Kanji et al "does not teach the weight ratio of two resins

in a mixture is from 10:90 to 90:10, however a person of ordinary skill in the art would have used

common sense to mix a ratio of 1:1..."

As stated previously, Applicants respectfully disagrees. There is no teaching in Kanji

relative to mixing certain weight ratios of different resins and also there is no teaching of mixing

a certain weight ratio of a MQ resin with a silsesquioxane resin containing at least 40 mole %

propyl groups. Applicants therefore respectfully submit that the claims as amended are not

obvious over Kanji et al and request that they be allowed.

This reply is being submitted with a petition for a three month extension. You are authorized to

charge deposit account 04-1520 for any fees necessary to maintain the pendency of this

application. You are authorized to make any additional copies of this sheet needed to

accomplish the purposes provided for herein and to charge any fee for such copies to deposit

account 04-1520.

Respectfully Submitted,

**Dow Corning Corporation** 

/Patricia M. Scaduto/\_\_\_

Patricia M. Scaduto

Reg. No. 39,827

Tel: 989-496-6925

Page 5 of 5